

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. CV 22-06191-SK

Date: December 6, 2022

Title Korttney Elliott v. NNS Limited Partnership

Present: The Honorable: Steve Kim, United States Magistrate Judge

Connie Chung
Deputy Clerk

n/a
Court Reporter / Recorder

Attorneys Present for Plaintiff(s):

None present

Attorneys Present for Defendant(s):

None present

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) **ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL
FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION**

For the reason checked below, Plaintiff is ORDERED to show cause on or before December 20, 2022 why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. *Link v. Wabash R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (Court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion).

Absent a showing of good cause, an action must be dismissed without prejudice if the summons and complaint are not served on a Defendant within 90 days after the complaint is filed. Plaintiff has failed to file a proof of service within 90 days of the filing of the Complaint on Defendant NNS Limited Partnership.

Plaintiff can satisfy this order by showing that service was effectuated within the 90 day deadline or by showing good cause for the failure to do so. Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m).

Pursuant to Rule 78 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds that this matter is appropriate for submission without oral argument. The Order to Show Cause will stand submitted upon the filing of Plaintiff's response. Failure to respond to this Order to Show Cause will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action.

IT IS SO ORDERED.